P-132E

Sectt. II-48—35,702—27-11-48.

Pw (1925) Department.

C

Mh SERIES.

PR
63
TM

G.O. No. 274 W

Concern

7/807 25

DATED 23 2 25

[Despatch Abstract.]

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... *Registered* .....

From (Name) M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur R.N. ami Mudaliar,
(Designation) Superintending Engineer Avl., C.E., as Circle.

Post Box ....., (STATION) .....

Telegraphic Address .....

CHIEF ENGINEER AND SECRETARY TO GOVT. P.W.D. Section. 12 SEP 1924 C. No. 4139 MADRAS

To The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department

No. 1857W, *dated* 11/9 1924.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES 1

SPARE COPIES .....

Alipuram Jail, S.R. for certain works in the

With reference to G.O.No.Misc. 952 W dated 29.8.24 forwarding an extract from the notes of Inspection by the Accountant General on the accounts of Alipuram Jail, I have the honour to refer you to this office No. 1139 W dated 10.6.24 with which the Completion Report for the work was sent with a request to sanction the excess expenditure incurred on the work.

2. With reference to the delay in according technical sanction to the estimates in question I have the honour to invite your attention to the Proceedings of Inspector General of Prisons No. 5816 dated 16.6.23 a copy of which is enclosed herewith with a copy of the Endorsement of the Inspector General thereon forwarded to this office.

As the works were carried out by the Superintendent himself, they were completed before the Technical sanction referred to could be accorded.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A Ramaswami 9.9.24
for Supdg. Engr. Madras Circle

D.B.S./8.9.24.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS.

Lieut-Col. J.P. Cameron, C.I.E. I.M.S.,

Inspector General of Prisons

Read the following:--

Letter No. 1145/G dated the 9th June 1923, from M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur R.N. Arogyaswami Mudaliar Avl., B.A., B.C.E., Superintending Engineer, Madras Circle, to the Inspector General of Prisons.

Sub: Alipuram Jail - Bellary District - Improvements to -

---

* * *

O r d e r:-- No. 5816 dated the 16th June 1923.

Copy communicated to the Superintendent, Alipuram Jail, Bellary Cantonment, for information.

2. He is requested to forward the detailed estimate and plans to the Superintending Engineer, Madras Circle, without further delay with a report explaining the necessity for carrying out the improvements to the Jail buildings prior to their being technically sanctioned. A copy of the report should be submitted to this office for information.

Sd/-.....
for Inspector General of Prison

To the Superintendent, Alipuram Jail, Bellary Contonment.

Copy to the Superintending Engineer, Madras Circle for information.

2. When the Government were addressed in this office No. G/738 dated the 18th October 1922 for the administrative approval of the several works in the Alipuram Jail, they were informed that all of them except improvements to the cook house to economise in wood, were completed.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT. O.F. 186—2,000—15-9-

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES { Plans No. / Others No.



Received _____ 192

Registered _____ 192

Total

SPARE COPIE

Passed on by Registering Clerk ...

" U.R Clerk .. ...

" Referencer ... ...

" Record Superintendent ...

" Drafting Officer ...

Subject.

FORT ST. GEORGE, MADRAS,

No. 807 C.O. *Dated the* 10th December 1924 192

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

Madras.

To

~~THE CHIEF ENGINEER~~
THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

4139 Acts
-29.8.11. Rule 6
Law (Genl)

With reference to G.O.No.Misc.952 W, dated 29th August 1924 passing orders on the Inspection Report of the Accounts of the Superintendent, Alipuram Jail, Bellary, in March 1924, I have the honour to enquire when orders on para 6 of the report may be expected.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

J. & C. Williams.

Deputy Accountant General.

SURA

R. Ven.1[illegible]/12.

C no 5729 Ac from the A.S. no 807 C.O. dt 10.12.24.

Inspection report - P.W. accounts of the Supdt, Alipuram jail, Bellary - Go no 952 W dt 29.8.24 Item 6 -

Memo 4139 Ac/24-4 dt 13 12/24

The A.S. is informed that the matter is under consideration of the Govt in the Law (General) Deptt.

US 12.12.24
CR. 12.12.24

13/12/24
for S.

DEL... D
16 DEC 1924

To
The A.S. Madras

9 D
no call

Note

The file was sent to Law (G on 8.11.24. that Deptt was remind on 24.11.24.

US 12.12.24

Law (General) **DEPARTMENT.**

CURRENT No.

*Received*_____ *192* *Registered*_____ *192*

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.
No.....
.....
Spare copies .....

No. 899 C.O.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Dated the 6th February 1925 *192*

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government, of Madras,

Law (General) Department.

SIR,

With reference to your Memo No.6966 C-1, dated 17th December 1924 regarding para 5 of G.O.No.952 ", dated 29th August 1924 on the Inspection report of the account of Salipuram Jail Superintendent as a Public Works Disburs I have the honour to enquire when orders thereon may be expected in this office.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

Sd/- J.H.G. Williams,

Deputy Accountant Gen

T. Ven.6/2.

No.899 C.O., dated 6th February 1925.

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to Government Public Works Department with reference to his Memo No.4139 Ac/24-4, dated 13th December 1924.

G. Williamson

Assistant Accountant General.

Accounts of Public works executed by Supdt, Alipuram jail — A.G's Inspection report. P.W.D

39 Ac From the SE Madras circle no 1854 W dt 11.9.24.

Ans
9.

p 15 of So no 1024 W dt 29 8 24 (9)

In item 6 of the Inspection report on the accounts of the Public works executed by the Supdt, Alipuram jail, Bellary, it was brought to notice that administrative approval was accorded in Go no 93 W dt 23.1.23 to 9 estimates amounting to Rs 30,993 for certain improvements and alterations to camp jail, Alipuram, and that the SE was directed to accord technical sanction thereto but that no such sanction was accorded and that the works had been completed. In para 6 of the annexure to Go no 952 W dt 29.8.24 the SE Madras circle was asked to report the reasons for the delay in according technical sanction

Please see in this connection C_2's (1 to 4) remarks with reference to this item in para 2 on page 40 and M_2's remarks at pages 41-42 of Go no 952 W dt 29.8.24.

2 The SE's report has been received vide page 1 C7. The following are the facts of the case :-

In Go no 164 W dt 14.2.22 the Govt accorded administrative approval to an approximate

approximate estimate amounting to Rs 13,900 and the S.E. accorded technical sanction to that estimate for Rs 12570 in the same month (Feb 1922).

The work was executed by the Supdt of the jail as a Public Works distursen. During the course of the execution of the works, the Supdt found it impossible to adhere to the sanctioned estimates owing to the changing condition of the jail and he had to adjust the improvements and alterations according to circumstances. He carried out the deviations and additional works under the orders of the I.G of Prisons as they were all required urgently to accommodate thousands of prisoners. After almost all the works had been completed, the I.G of prisons addressed the Govt in Oct. 1922 to accord revised administrative approval to the works. This was accorded in Go no 93 W&I 23.1.23

With this Go the estimates received from the I.G of Prisons were sent to the S.E, and he was asked to accord technical sanction thereto. These estimates were mere abstracts without reports plans or details. The S.E was therefore

under the Supdt's let. No 20 of memo no 58 dt 20.8.23 (H)

under para 4 of Go no 93 dt 23.1.23 (h)

(h)

with the S.E's letter dt 10 Aug (H)

vide flag D

in correspondence with the Jail Deptt for detailed plans and estimates. But, no such plans and estimates could be got from the Supdt of the jail The SE thereupon addressed the chief Engr in July 1923 requesting that, as the works had been carried out already the A.G. might be authorised to accept the expenditure without insisting upon technical sanction to the estimates

vide p4 ibid

The A.G. who was then consulted, stated that there was no audit objection to the excess over the original technical sanction accorded by the SE in Feb 1922 for Rs.12570 being explained in a Completion report under paras 291 & 292 P.W.D code and passed by the Govt. His opinion of the A.G. was communicated to the SE for necessary action in

(H) memo No. 5873C dt 20.8.23. The SE accordingly submitted a detailed completion report in June 1924 and the A.G. was authorised in Govt No.

(B) 1037 W dt 18.9.24 to admit the excess expenditure of Rs 18,904 over that technically sanctioned by the S.E. in Feb 1922 for Rs 12,570.

3 It will be seen from the above

vide the SE's letter
upto 1 of flag (H)

above history of the case, that the P.W.D were not at fault in any respect. It is the Jail Deptt ~~that~~ was responsible for carrying out the works without sanctioned detailed estimates. But this was done in view of the urgency of the matter.

In the circumstances, no further action is necessary on the item now drawing the attention of the A.G. to Chief Engr's memo no 5873 Cdl 20.8.23 (H) and Govt no 1037 WDl 18.9.24. (I)

4 The case may be sent to Law (Genl) and Finance for remarks prior to circulation.

L.D.

Us. 20.11.24 C.R. 20-11-24

21/11/24

Do/12/24

Law (Genl) Finance

L. Dis 45 C, 6/1/25

P.W. no 4139 Acts/24-6

3.1.25

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS 5 JAN 1925 LAW DEPARTMENT

Redm 7.1.

Law (General) Dept.

Us

In the circumstances stated above, this department may agree that no further action is necessary in the matter; P.W.D. may be requested to Circulate

Acts 31.12.24

The file to C1 with reference to para 2 of his
(illegible) note on page 4/c of G.O. 952 W dy 29.8.24.
2nd This file may be passed on to Finance

19/1
19.1.25
20.1.25

20/1
V.T.F.
21

Finance W





Finance (Genl)

Submitted for perusal.

2. The case may be returned to P.W.D.

2581 accts
23.1.25

26/1/25

26.1.25

RWD
27/1

P.W.D.

Fin. No. 258/accts-I
27/1/25

U.S
S



A draft order as the case is submitted
It may be circulated to M2, C1 and C3

CR.
5.2.25.

6/2/25

M2
C1
C3

BOX NO 5-I
10.2.25
Read on 19/2/25

This is a very common
difficulty when P.W.D
work is carried on by
other departments —
In the particular case

The work was said to be
urgent and was said therefore
likely carried out without detailed
Estimates and Sanction & thus for
the Explanation might be accepted

13/2/25

13.2.25

So far as the Jail Dept is concerned
the explanation was presumably at one
time in G O No 1037 W dated 18.9.24
& was accepted by the Finance Dept.
I have not seen it
The explanation on the PWD side is
apparently that the S.E. could not
get the detailed estimates from the
Supt of the Jail. This may be
accepted, but the draft might have
put it at less length

RK
18/2/25

K.S.

to A alone

revised draft as submitted. If
approved, it may be issued

CR.
19.2.25.

Issue
MK
20/2/25

19/2/25

Read again G.O - No. 952 W & 29-8-24. (7)

Read also CR No. 4139 Ac. Fort St. George S.E. Madras Circle, No. 1854 W & 11-9-24

Q

274 W dated 23.2.25

Order
Misc

£ 15 4 5. 75 0 0
78 8 4
(P1)

With reference to item 6 of the report of inspection of the Accounts of the Public Works executed by the Superintendent, Alipuram Jail, Bellary, in March 1924, the S.E. Madras circle explains that he could not accord technical sanction to the revised estimate, because he could not get detailed plans and estimates from the Supdt of the Jail, who had executed the works without such plans & estimates in view of the urgency, and that therefore the excess expenditure incurred over the estimate technically sanctioned in July 1922 was explained ~~in para~~ in the completion report approved in G.O - No. 1037 WS 15-9-24. The explanation is accepted by the Govt.

C.R.
19-2-25

26 FEB 1925

To the A.G. through Finance ✓
" " Supdt, Alipuram jail ✓ } thro the Sess(Civil) Supdt
" " D.G of Prisons ✓
" " S.E. Madras circle ✓

1/2-1 (B)

20/2/25
W.S

Sectt. II-47—697 Bks.—24-1-18 (5).

A. / B. / N.P } (a)

P.W DEPARTMENT.

ORDINARY ROUTINE SERIES.

CIRCULATED / NOT-CIRCULATED.

† G.O. No. dated 191

NOT SEEN BY C.S.

Receipt numbers ..	1137 Ac/24.
Number and date of previous proceedings.	

Tabling Abstract.

[illegible]

(b) Index Headings.

MAIN HEADING Accounts

CROSS REFERENCES.

SPECIAL INDEX.

DO NOT SPECIAL INDEX.

(a) and (b) not to be filled in for 'Routine' Series.